

1 GORDON H. DePAOLI  
2 Nevada State Bar No. 195  
3 DALE E. FERGUSON  
4 Nevada State Bar No. 4986  
5 DOMENICO R. DePAOLI  
6 Nevada State Bar No. 11553  
Woodburn and Wedge  
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500  
Reno, Nevada 89511  
Telephone: 775/688-3000

7 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ  
12 Plaintiff, ) SUBFILE NO. C-125-C  
13 ) 3:73-CV-00128-RCJ-WGC  
14 WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, )  
15 Plaintiff-Intervenor, )  
16 v. )  
17 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ) MOTIONS REGARDING BASIC  
18 a corporation, et al., ) THRESHOLD JURISDICTIONAL  
19 Defendants. ) ISSUES, OR, IN THE  
 ) ALTERNATIVE, FOR STATUS  
 ) CONFERENCE  
20 MINERAL COUNTY, )  
21 Proposed Plaintiff-Intervenor, )  
22 v. )  
23 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
et al., )  
25 Proposed Defendants. )  
26  
27  
28

1           Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and L.R. 6.1, the Walker River Irrigation District  
2 (“District”) moves the Court to vacate the schedule for motions regarding basic threshold  
3 jurisdictional issues until such time as an order superseding the existing Order Regarding  
4 Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By Unrepresented Parties (Doc. 1874)  
5 (the “Unrepresented Party Order”) is entered and implemented and until such time as Mineral  
6 County completes service on persons and entities with dormant riparian water rights in  
7 California.  
8

9           In the alternative, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a) and L.R. 16.1(d), the District moves  
10 the Court for a status conference in this matter at the earliest possible date to clarify how  
11 motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to be  
12 served on parties who have appeared, but are unrepresented (“Unrepresented Parties”), and  
13 served, if at all, on parties who have not appeared (“Non-Appearing Parties”).  
14

15           There is a conflict between the Unrepresented Party Order and directions given by the  
16 Court to modify that Order in status conferences held on July 25, 2013 and November 4, 2013.  
17 It is anticipated that such an Order will be entered in this subproceeding after a similar Order is  
18 entered in Subproceeding C-125-B. In addition, as a result of the November 4, 2013 status  
19 conference, Mineral County is to serve persons and entities with dormant riparian water rights  
20 in California. November 4, 2013 Transcript of Proceedings at p. 27, ln. 16 - 31, ln. 16.  
21 Mineral County was directed to report to the Court on the status of such service by December  
22 31, 2013. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963).  
23

#### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

25           The District requests that the present schedule for motions regarding basic threshold  
26 jurisdictional issues be vacated until an Order with respect to such service is entered and  
27 implemented. In the alternative, the District requests a case management conference to clarify  
28 how motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to

1 be served on Unrepresented Parties and served, if at all, on Non-Appearing Parties. The  
2 existing Unrepresented Party Order (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1874), in relevant part,  
3 provides:

4 **Service on Unrepresented Parties:**

5       3.     **Electronic Service:** If an Unrepresented Party consents to  
6 electronic service as hereinafter provided, that party will receive an e-mail from  
7 the court's CM/ECF system each time a document is filed in this matter that  
8 includes a link to the public website where the party may view, print and/or save  
the filing at no cost. . . .

9       4.     **"Mail-Only" List:** In the rare situation where an Unrepresented  
10 Party is unable to receive electronic service, and is approved by the Court to be  
11 on a "Mail-Only" List, the Court at a later date will determine the nature and  
scope of what is to be served upon "Mail-Only" parties, and what "Mail-Only"  
parties must serve on other "Mail-Only" parties.

12       5.     The Court, at a later date, will determine the extent to which  
13 defendants must serve other defendants, whether represented or unrepresented.

14       6.     **If any Unrepresented Party fails either to consent to  
15 electronic service or be approved for the "Mail-Only" List, that party shall  
16 be deemed to have consented to opt out of service, to have agreed to receive  
17 subsequent notice of all filings in this matter by taking the responsibility to  
check the public website by selecting "Walker River" on the Court's  
18 website ([www.nvd.uscourts.gov](http://www.nvd.uscourts.gov)) or by accessing the public website directly  
([ecf.nv.uscourts.gov/casedisplay](http://ecf.nv.uscourts.gov/casedisplay)). All such parties shall be deemed to have  
received notice of all subsequent Orders and other filings in Subproceeding  
C-125-B.**

20 After the entry of the Unrepresented Party Order, the Court directed that it be modified.

21 See July 25, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 74, ln. 13 - p. 91, ln. 15; November 4,  
22 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 33, ln. 2 - p. 51, ln. 15. As a result of the direction  
23 provided by the Court, the parties, working with the Magistrate Judge, began to develop the  
24 "Superseding Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By  
25 Unrepresented Parties." It is contemplated that once a Superseding Order is finalized in  
26 Subproceeding C-125-B, it will be adapted as necessary to apply to Subproceeding C-125-C  
27 (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963).

1           A Minute Order after the November 4, 2013 status conference established a schedule  
2 for motions to dismiss regarding “basic threshold jurisdictional issues.” (Subproceeding C-  
3 125-B, Doc. 1958). The schedule provides that motions to dismiss are due on March 31, 2014,  
4 responses are due May 30, 2014, and replies are due June 30, 2014. That schedule was  
5 established based upon the assumption that the Superseding Order would be completed and in  
6 place at or about December 31, 2013. *See* November 4, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference  
7 at p. 65, ln. 23 - p. 67, ln. 2.

9           The parties continued work on the Superseding Order, and submitted a draft for  
10 consideration at a December 6, 2013 Status Conference before the Magistrate Judge. In that  
11 status conference, revisions were proposed, and another status conference was scheduled for  
12 January 17, 2014. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1963). A revised draft of the Superseding  
13 Order was circulated among the parties on January 10, 2014 for discussion at the January 17,  
14 2014 status conference. In addition, at the December 6, 2013 status conference, Mineral  
15 County was directed to report to the Court by December 31, 2013 on the status of service in  
16 this matter, including how many parties have yet to be served. (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc.  
17 1963). Mineral County reported that there are more than 400 such parties to be served and that  
18 such service would take four to six months to complete. (Subproceeding C-125-C, Doc. 738).

20           As a result of the Order denying without prejudice the Motion to Admit Government  
21 Attorneys David L. Negri and Andrew “Guss” Guarino (Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1968),  
22 the Magistrate Judge vacated the January 17, 2014 status conference. (Subproceeding C-125-  
23 B, Doc. 1969). At the present time, no further status conferences have been scheduled  
24 concerning the Superseding Order.

26           Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Unrepresented Party Order leave to another day what is to be  
27 served by mail. In general, the direction given by the Court to be included in the Superseding  
28 Order was that Unrepresented Parties would receive notice of filings by mailed post card with a

1 reference to the Walker River website. November 4, 2013 Transcript at p. 35, ln. 23 - p. 36, ln.  
2 8. The direction given by the Court was that Non-Appearing Parties would be given another  
3 opportunity to appear and elect a method of service. *Id.* at p. 38, ln. 10 - p. 39, ln. 2. As  
4 presently drafted, the Superseding Order would be served by mail on all Unrepresented Parties  
5 and on all Non-Appearing Parties who would have a period of time thereafter to make a service  
6 election. *See* Subproceeding C-125-B, Doc. 1957, Attachment A.  
7

8 It is important for those parties who must file motions by March 31, 2013, and for those  
9 who must respond later, to know how to serve Unrepresented Parties, and also what service, if  
10 any, need be made on Non-Appearing Parties. The Superseding Order was intended to clarify  
11 these issues. Therefore, the District requests that the Court vacate the schedule related to  
12 motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues until such time as a superseding order in  
13 compliance with the Court's directions is entered and implemented, and until such time as  
14 Mineral County completes service.  
15

16 In the alternative, the District requests a status conference to consider and receive  
17 instructions from the Court on service of motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues  
18 on Unrepresented Parties, and service, if any, on Non-Appearing Parties.  
19

20 Dated: March 10, 2014.  
21

22 WOODBURN AND WEDGE  
23

24 By: /s/  
25 Gordon H. DePaoli,  
26 Dale E. Ferguson, Domenico R. DePaoli  
27 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500  
28 Reno, Nevada 89511  
Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

1                   **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2                   I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 10th day of  
3 March, 2014, I electronically served the foregoing *Walker River Irrigation District's Motion to*  
4 *Vacate Schedule Related to Motions Regarding Basic Threshold Jurisdictional Issues, or In the*  
5 *Alternative, for Status Conference* with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system,  
6 which will send notification of such filing to the following via their email addresses:  
7

8                   Bryan L. Stockton	bstockton@ag.nv.gov
9                   K Geddes	kgeddes@water.nv.gov
10                  S. Geyer	sgeyer@ag.nv.gov
11                  Don Springmeyer	dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com
12                  Christopher Mixson	cmixson@wrslawyers.com
13                  C. Rehfeld	crehfeld@wrslawyers.com
14                  N. Valdez	nvaldez@wrslawyers.com
15                  George Benesch	gbenesch@att.net
16                  Greg Addington	greg.addington@usdoj.gov
17                  Elizabeth Pantner	epantner@usdoj.gov
18                  Joanie Silvershield	joanie.silvershield@usdoj.gov
19                  James Spoo	spootoo@aol.com
20                  J. J. Rbau	jrbau@hotmail.com
21                  John Paul Schlegelmilch	jpslaw@netscape.com
22                  Karen Peterson	kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com
23                  N. Fontonet	nfontonet@allisonmackenzie.com
24                  V. O'Neill	voneill@allisonmackenzie.com
25                  Laura Schroeder	counsel@water-law.com
26                  T. Jackson	t.jackson@water-law.com
27                  Tau	tau@water-law.com
28                  Marta A. Adams	madams@ag.nv.gov
K. Armstrong	karmstsrong@ag.nv.gov
L. Deming	ldeming@ag.nv.gov
V. Brownell	vbrownell@ag.nv.gov
V. Brownley	vborwnley@ag.nv.gov
Michael D. Hoy	mhoy@nevadalaw.com
K. Anderson	kanderson@nevadalaw.com
M. Kimmel	mkimmel@nevadalaw.com
T. Chrissinger	tchrissinger@nevadalaw.com
Ross E. de Lipkau	ecf@parsonsbehle.com
R. Tinnell	rtinnell@parsonsbehle.com
Thomas J. Hall	tjhall@eschelon.com
Michael W. Neville	michael.neville@doj.ca.gov
Annadel Almendras	annadel.almendras@doj.ca.gov
Joan Randolph	joan.randolph@doj.ca.gov
Stacey Simon	ssimon@mono.ca.gov
Stephen M. Macfarlane	Stephen.Macfarlane@usdoj.gov

1 Deedee Sparks                   deedee.sparks@usdoj.gov  
2 Cathy Wilson                   cathy.wilson@bia.gov  
3 Christopher Watson           christopher.watson@sol.doi.gov; chriswatson@gmail.com  
4 Eileen Rutherford           eileen.rutherford@usdoj.gov  
5 Yvonne Marsh                   yvonne.marsh@usdoj.gov  
6 Paul J. Anderson           panderson@mclrenolaw.com  
7 W. Cornelious                   wcornelius@mclrenolaw.com  
8 Wes Williams                   wwilliams@standfordaluni.org  
9 David L. Negri               david.negri@usdoj.gov  
Simeon Herskovits              simeon@communityandenvironment.net  
Sean A. Rowe                   srowe@mineralcountynv.org  
Andrew Guss Guarino           guss.guarino@usdoj.gov  
Cathy Wilson                   c.wilson@bia.gov  
Iris Thornton                   iris@communityandenvironment.net

10 /s/  
11 Tommie Kay Atkinson

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28